

ENTERED

July 23, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ROY TREJO,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-089

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
TO DISMISS CASE FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION**


On June 21, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss Case for Want of Prosecution” (D.E. 8). Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 8), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for want of prosecution.

ORDERED this 22nd day of July, 2021.


NEELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE